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Motivation

The syntax of programming languages (such as Algol, C, Java) are described by phrase structure grammars. The grammars are also used in the specification of data exchange formats.

A grammar specifies a formal language. The advantage is that a fairly small grammar can describe a fairly complex language.

Formal languages are also a convenient tool in computational complexity theory, as we will see.
Notation

Let $V$ be a set.

We denote by $V^*$ the set of strings over $V$.

A language over the alphabet $V$ is a subset of $V^*$.

The empty string is denoted by $\lambda$. 
Phrase-Structure Grammars

A phrase-structure grammar $G$ consists of
- a set $V$ called the vocabulary,
- a subset $T$ of $V$ consisting of terminal symbols,
  $[N=V\setminus T$ is called the set of nonterminal symbols$]
- a distinguished nonterminal element $S$ in $N$, called start symbol
- and a finite set of productions (or rules).

We denote this data by $G=(V,T,S,P)$. 
The productions are term-rewriting rules that specify how a part of string can be modified.

A production rule is of the form

\[ A \rightarrow B \]

where \( A \) is string in \( V^* \) containing at least one nonterminal symbol, and \( B \) is a string in \( V^* \).

The production rule \( A \rightarrow B \) specifies that \( A \) can be replaced by \( B \) within a string.
A.5 Statements

statement:
  labeled-statement
  expression-statement
  compound-statement
  selection-statement
  iteration-statement
  jump-statement
  declaration-statement
  try-block

labeled-statement:
  identifier : statement
  case constant-expression : statement
default : statement

expression-statement:
  expression_opt ;

compound-statement:
  { statement-seq_opt }

statement-seq:
  statement
  statement-seq statement

selection-statement:
  if ( condition ) statement
  if ( condition ) statement else statement
  switch ( condition ) statement

case:
  condition:
    expression
type-specifier-seq declarator = assignment-expression

iteration-statement:
  while ( condition ) statement
  do statement while ( expression ) ;
  for ( for-init-statement ; condition_opt ; expression_opt )
      statement
  for-init-statement:
    expression-statement
    simple-declaration

jump-statement:
  break ;
  continue ;
  return expression_opt ;
goto identifier ;

declaration-statement:
  block-declaration
Main Idea

We begin with the start symbol S, and then repeatedly apply the productions to transform the current string of symbols into a new string of symbols.

Once we reach a string s that consists only of terminal symbols, then this procedure terminates.

We say that s is derivable from S.
Consider a grammar that contains the productions

\[ A \rightarrow a \quad \text{and} \quad A \rightarrow aAa \]

Given a string AAab, we can apply the first production to obtain Aaab, and the second production to get aAaaab. Applying the first production, we get aaaaab.

We write \( A \Rightarrow B \) iff the string \( B \) can be derived from \( A \) by applying a single production rule.

We will write \( A \Rightarrow^* B \) if the string \( B \) can be derived from \( A \) by a finite sequence of production rules.

Thus, we have shown that AAab \( \Rightarrow^* \) aaaaab
Example 0

Consider the grammar $G = (V, T, S, P)$ with

$V = \{ S, 1, (, ), + \}$

$T = \{ 1, (, ), + \}$

$P = \{ S \rightarrow (S + S), S \rightarrow 1 \}$

We have $S \Rightarrow 1$,

$S \Rightarrow (S+S) \Rightarrow (S+1) \Rightarrow (1+1)$

$S \Rightarrow (S+S) \Rightarrow ((S+S)+S) \Rightarrow^* ((1+1)+1)$
Notice that $\Rightarrow$ is a relation on $V^*$. It relates a string in $V^*$ with a string that can be obtained from it by applying a single production rule. Since many production rules may apply, $\Rightarrow$ is in general not a function.

The relation $\Rightarrow^*$ is the so-called transitive closure of $\Rightarrow$, that is, the smallest transitive relation containing the relation $\Rightarrow$. 
Let $G=(V,T,S,P)$ be a phrase-structure grammar.

The set $L(G)$ of strings that can be derived from the start symbol $S$ using production from $P$ is called the language of the grammar $G$.

In other words,

$$L(G) = \{ s \in T^* \mid S \Rightarrow^* s \}$$
Example 1

Let $G$ be a grammar with vocabulary $V = \{S, A, a, b\}$, set of terminal symbols $T = \{a, b\}$, start symbol $S$, set of production rules $P = \{S \rightarrow aA, S \rightarrow b, A \rightarrow aa\}$

$S \Rightarrow b$

$S \Rightarrow aA \Rightarrow aaa$

Are $b$ and $aaa$ all terminal strings in $L(G)$?
Example 1: Derivation Tree

Recall that $G=\left(\{S,A,a,b\}, \{a,b\}, S, P\right)$

where

$P = \{ S \rightarrow aA, S \rightarrow b, A \rightarrow aa \}$

Simply form the tree with all derivations starting from $S$.

Thus, $L(G) = \{aaa, b\}$
Example 2

Let $G = (V,T,S,P)$ be a grammar with $T = \{a,b\}$ and $P = \{S \rightarrow ABa, A \rightarrow BB, B \rightarrow ab, AB \rightarrow b\}$.

$S \Rightarrow ABa \Rightarrow BBBa \Rightarrow abBBa \Rightarrow ababBa \Rightarrow abababa$

$S \Rightarrow ABa \Rightarrow Aaba \Rightarrow BBaba \Rightarrow abababa$

$S \Rightarrow ABa \Rightarrow ba$

$L(G) = \{ba, abababa\}$
Problem

In general, we would like to have an algorithm that can decide whether a given string \( s \) belongs to the language \( L(G) \) or not. This is not always possible, not even in principle.

The problem is that the production rules are too flexible. We will now consider more restricted forms of grammars that allow one - at least in principle - to write an algorithm to decide membership in \( L(G) \).
Regular Grammars
Regular Grammars

In a regular grammar, all productions are of the form

a) $S \rightarrow$ empty string or
b) $A \rightarrow aB$ or $A \rightarrow a$, where $A$ and $B$ are nonterminal symbols (including the start symbol $S$) and $a$ is a terminal symbol.
Notation

Instead of writing multiple productions such as

\[ A \to Ab \]

\[ A \to Aba \]

we can combine them into a single production, separating alternatives with |, as follows:

\[ A \to Ab | Aba \]
Consider the grammar $G = (V,T,S,P)$ where
$V = \{S,A,0,1\}$,
$T = \{0,1\}$,
$S$ is the start symbol, and
$P$ has the rules
$S \rightarrow OS \mid 1A \mid 1 \mid \text{empty-string}$
$A \rightarrow 1A \mid 1$

Determine $L(G)$.
$L(G) = \{ 0^m1^n \mid m \geq 0, n \geq 0 \}$
A language generated by a regular grammar is called a regular language.

Warning: A regular language might also be generated by a different grammar that is not regular (and often it is more convenient to do so).
Consider the grammar
\[ G = (\{S, 0, 1\}, \{0, 1\}, S, P) \] with
\[ P = \{S \to 11S, S \to 0\} \]

Is \( G \) a regular grammar?
No, but \( L(G) \) is a regular language, since \( L(G) = L(G') \) where

\[ G' = (\{S, T, 0, 1\}, \{0, 1\}, S, P') \]
\[ P' = \{ S \to 1T, T \to 1S, S \to 0\} \]
Applications of regular grammars include:

- algorithms to search text for certain patterns (using regular expressions)

- part of a compiler that transforms an input stream into a stream of tokens (the so-called tokenizer). The purpose of the tokens is to group characters together into entities that have more meaning, such as "variable" or "signed integer".
Context Free Grammars
Context Free Grammars

A grammar $G = (V,T,S,P)$ is called **context free** if and only if all productions in $P$ are of the form

$A \rightarrow B$

where $A$ is a single nonterminal symbol and $B$ is in $V^*$.

The reason this is called “context free” is that the production $A \rightarrow B$ can be applied whenever the symbol $A$ occurs in the string, no matter what else is in the string.
Consider the grammar \( G = ( \{ S, a, b \}, \{ a, b \}, S, P ) \)
where \( P = \{ S \rightarrow ab \mid aSb \} \).

Then \( L(G) = \{ a^n b^n \mid n \geq 1 \} \)

The language \( L(G) \) is not regular.

Thus, the set of regular languages are a proper subset of the set of context free languages.
X1 Find a context-free grammar $G$ for the language $L(G) = \{ w w^R \mid w \text{ in } \{a,b\}^* \}$ where $w^R$ is the string $w$ reversed. For example, if $w=abb$, then $w^R = bba$.

$$G = (\{S,a,b\}, \{a,b\}, S, \{ S \rightarrow aSa, S \rightarrow bSb, S \rightarrow \lambda \})$$
Context-Sensitive Grammars
In a context sensitive grammar, all productions are of the form

a) $lAr \rightarrow lwr$ where $A$ is a nonterminal symbol, $l$, $w$, $r$ are strings in $V^*$; $l$ and $r$ can be empty, but $w$ must be a nonempty string.

b) Can contain $S \rightarrow \lambda$ if $S$ does not occur on RHS of any production.
The language \( \{ 0^n1^n2^n \mid n \geq 0 \} \) is a context-sensitive language. Indeed, the grammar \( G = (V,T,S,P) \) with \( V = \{0,1,2,S,A,B,C,D\} \), \( T = \{0,1,2\} \), and

\[
P = \{ S \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow 0CAB, C \rightarrow 0AB, S \rightarrow \lambda, \\
BA \rightarrow BD, BD \rightarrow AD, AD \rightarrow AB, \\
0A \rightarrow 01, 1A \rightarrow 11, 1B \rightarrow 12, 2B \rightarrow 22 \}
\]

generates this language.

\[
S \xrightarrow{*} 0CAB \xrightarrow{*} 00ABAB \xrightarrow{*} 00AABB \xrightarrow{001ABB} \xrightarrow{0011BB} \xrightarrow{00112B} 001122.
\]
Types of Grammars
Chomsky Hierarchy

- Type 0 – Phrase-structure Grammars
- Type 1 – Context-Sensitive
- Type 2 – Context-Free
- Type 3 – Regular
Defining the PSG Types

Type 0: Any PSG

Type 1: Context-Sensitive PSG:
Productions are of the form $lA_{r} \rightarrow lwr$ where $A$ is a nonterminal symbol, and $w$ a nonempty string in $V^*$. Can contain $S \rightarrow \lambda$ if $S$ does not occur on RHS of any production.

Type 2: Context-Free PSG:
Productions are of the form $A \rightarrow B$ where $A$ is a nonterminal symbol.

Type 3: Regular PSGs:
Productions are of the form $A \rightarrow aB$ or $A \rightarrow a$ where $A,B$ are nonterminal symbols and $a$ is a terminal symbol. Can contain $S \rightarrow \lambda$. 
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Modeling Computation
Let $S$ be the state space of a computer (that is, a state describes the contents of memory, cache, and registers).

Let $I$ and $O$ denote the set of input and output symbols. In each time step, the computer receives an input symbol $i$ in $I$ and produces an output symbol $o$ in $O$.

The computer can be modeled by a transition function $T: S \times I \rightarrow S \times O$.

Given the old state and the input, the computer processes this information, creates a new state, and produces an output.
Language Recognition Problem:

Let $G = (V, T, S, P)$ be a grammar. Given a string $s$ in $T^*$, is the string $s$ contained in $L(G)$?
Let $T$ be the transition function of a computer. We may assume that the input, output, and state of the computer are given by bit strings. Let $B = \{0,1\}$. Then

$$T : B^* \to B^*.$$  

For a given input $a$, the output is $b = T(a)$. The $i$-th output bit has value 0 or 1. Let $L_i$ be the language

$$L_i = \{ x \in I \mid T(x)_i = 1 \}.$$  

Thus, the language recognition problem $a$ in $L_i$ simply gives the value of the $i$-th output bit.
Conclusion

The language recognition problem is as general as our notion of computation!
Finite State Machines with Output
A vending machine accepts nickels, dimes, and quarters. A drink will cost 30 cents. Change will be immediately given for any excess funds. When at least 30 cents have been deposited and any excess has been refunded, the customer can

a) push an orange button to receive orange juice.
b) push a red button to receive apple juice.
Vending Machine

We can model our vending machine as follows:

We have seven different states $s_0, \ldots, s_6$.

The state $s_i$ means that $5i$ cents have been deposited for all $i$ in the range $0 \leq i \leq 6$.

The vending machine will dispense a drink only in the state $s_6$.

We can model the behavior of the vending machine by specifying the transition function. The inputs are:

- 5 (nickel), 10 (dime), 25 (quarter), 0 (orange button),
- R (red button).
Vending Machine

Example: Machine is initially in state $s_0$. If a dime is inserted, then it moves to the state $s_2$ and outputs nothing. If a quarter is then inserted, then it will move to $s_6$ and output a nickel of change. If you then press $O$, then machine will move to state $s_0$ and output some OJ.
Vending Machine

Figure courtesy of McGrawHill
A finite state machine $M$ is given by $M=(S, I, O, f, g, s_0)$, where:
1. $S$ is the set of states
2. $I$ is input alphabet
3. $O$ is output alphabet
4. $f$ is the transition function that assigns each (state, input) pair a new state
5. $g$ is output function that assigns each (state, input) pair an output.
6. $s_0$ is the initial state
Adder

When adding two binary numbers, we can process the numbers from the least significant bit to the most significant bit. For each bit, we carry out the addition. We keep the information about the carry in the state of the machine.

$s_0$ when the carry in is 0,

$s_1$ when the carry in is 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curr. State</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Next State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curr. State</th>
<th>Input</th>
<th>Output</th>
<th>Next State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>00</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>01</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Adder
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Language Recognition with FSMs

Let $M$ be a finite state machine with input alphabet $I$.
Let $L$ be a formal language with $L \subseteq I^*$. We say that $M$ accepts the language $L$ if and only if

$x$ belongs to $L$ if and only if the last output bit produced by $M$ when given $x$ as an input is 1.

In other words, a) an input string that belongs to $L$ will get accepted by $M$, and b) an input string that does not belong to $L$ does not get accepted by $M.$
A finite state machine can be a good tool to model simple applications such as the vending machine.

We now know how to accept a language with finite state machines. However, for this application, we can simplify matters a little bit (=> finite state automata).

We need a more descriptive way to describe the languages that are accepted by a finite state machine (=> regular expressions).
Finite State Machines with No Output
Motivation

Suppose that we want to use a finite state machine simply for the purpose of language recognition. Recall that all output bits were ignored with the exception of the last output bit. The value of the last bit decides whether or not the input read belongs to the language that is accepted by the FSM.

Last output bit is 1 if and only if the input string is accepted (i.e., belongs to the language).

We might as well do away with any output and decide whether or not an input string belongs to the language depending on the value of the last state. We will have accepting (and rejecting) states.
A finite state automaton $M=(S, I, f, s_0, F)$ consists of a finite set $S$ of states, a finite input alphabet $I$, a transition function $f: S \times I \to S$ that assigns to a given current state and input the next state of the automaton, an initial state $s_0$, and a subset $F$ of $S$ consisting of accepting (or final) states.
Finite State Machines vs Automata

A finite state machine \( M \) is given by \( M=(S, I, O, f, g, s_0) \), where:

- \( S \) is the set of states
- \( I \) is input alphabet
- \( O \) is output alphabet
- \( f \) is the transition function that assigns each (state, input) pair a new state
- \( g \) is output function that assigns each (state, input) pair an output.
- \( s_0 \) is the initial state
In state diagrams, the accepting states are denoted by double circles.

rejecting state

accepting state
Example 1

What language does this automaton accept?
Example 2

What is the language accepted by the FSA depicted in Figure (a)?

The set of bit strings that begin with 00
Example 3

What is the language accepted by the FSA depicted in Figure (b)?

The set of bit strings that contain 00
Example 4

What is the language accepted by the FSA depicted in Figure (c)?

The set of bit strings that do not contain 00
Theorem: A formal language $L$ is regular if and only if there exists a finite state automaton $M$ accepting $L$.

Proof: Given in CSCE 433, but we will at least illustrate the main idea with the help of an example.
Proof Idea

Suppose that a regular grammar has the production rules: \( P = \{ S \rightarrow aA, S \rightarrow a, A \rightarrow bA, A \rightarrow b \} \).

Define the automaton

A node for each non-terminal symbol, and an additional node for an accepting state \( F \).

Each production \( A \rightarrow aB \) yields an edge labeled with \( a \) from \( A \) to \( B \).

A production \( A \rightarrow a \) yields an edge from \( A \) to \( F \) labeled by \( a \).
Regular Expressions

We will now introduce an algebraic description of formal languages with the help of regular expressions.

This will lead to yet another characterization of regular languages.
Operations on Languages: Concatenation

Suppose that \( V \) is an alphabet.

Let \( A \) and \( B \) be subsets of \( V^* \).

Denote by \( AB \) the set \( \{ xy \mid x \in A, y \in B \} \).

Example: \( A = \{0,11\} \) and \( B = \{1, 10, 110\} \)

Then \( AB = \{ 01, 010, 0110, 111, 1110, 11110 \} \)
Suppose that $V$ is an alphabet.

Let $A$ be a subset of $V^*$. 

Define $A^0 = \{ \lambda \}$ and $A^{n+1} = A^n A$

Example: $A = \{1, 00\}$

$A^2 = \{11, 100, 001, 0000\}$
Operations on Languages: Kleene Closure

Let $V$ be an alphabet, and $A$ a subset of $V^*$. The Kleene closure $A^*$ of $A$ is defined as

$$A^* = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A^k$$
The union of two formal languages $L_1$ and $L_2$ is the formal language $\{ x \mid x \in L_1 \text{ or } x \in L_2 \}$. 
Closure Properties

**Theorem:** The class of regular languages is closed under the operations: concatenation, Kleene closure, union, intersection, complement.

**Corollary:** All finite languages are regular.

**Corollary:** The complement of a finite language is regular.
Grammar of Regular Expressions

Let $V$ be a finite alphabet.

Terminals: $T = V \cup \{ \emptyset, \land, \cup, *, (, ) \}$

Nonterminals: $N = \{ S \}$ with start symbol $S$

$P = \{ S \rightarrow b \text{ for all } b \text{ in } V \cup \{ \emptyset, \land \}, S \rightarrow (S \cup S), S \rightarrow (SS), S \rightarrow S^* \}$

The grammar $G = (N \cup T, T, S, P)$ is called the grammar of regular expressions. The elements in $L(G)$ are called regular expressions over the alphabet $V$. 
The semantic of the language $L(G)$ of regular expressions is given by associating a formal language with each regular expression as follows:

- $E(\emptyset) = \emptyset$
- $E(\Lambda) = \{\Lambda\}$
- $E(a) = \{a\}$ for all $a$ in $V$
- $E((X \cup Y)) = E(X) \cup E(Y)$
- $E((XY)) = E(X) \cdot E(Y)$
- $E(X^*) = E(X)^*$
Fundamental Theorem

**Theorem** (Kleene-Myhill): The class of regular languages coincides with the languages that can be described by regular expressions.
Example

\[ E(a^*b^*) = \{ a^k b^l \mid k \geq 0, l \geq 0 \} \]
Theorem: The language $L = \{ 0^n1^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$ is not regular.

Proof: Seeking a contradiction, suppose that $M$ is a finite state automaton accepting $L$. Let $m$ denote the number of states of $M$. On input of $0^m1^m$, the finite state automaton makes $2m$ transitions, say

$s_0 \rightarrow s_1 \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow s_{2m}$.

until ending up in the accepting state $s_{2m}$. Since there are just $m$ different states, by the pigeonhole principle at least two of the states $s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_m$ must be the same.
Therefore, there is a loop from one of these states back to itself of, say, length $k$. Since all of these transitions occur in input of 0, this means that the automaton also accepts the input string $0^{m+k}1^m$. Since this string does not belong to the language $L$, we get a contradiction. Therefore, we can conclude that there does not exist an automaton accepting the language $L = \{ 0^n1^n \mid n \geq 0 \}$. 