

# Discourse, Pragmatics, Coreference Resolution

Many slides are adapted from Roger Levy, Chris Manning, Vicent Ng, Heeyoung Lee, Altaf Rahman

## A pragmatic issue

- Just how are pronouns and nominals interpreted (resolved) in a discourse?

(1) Jane likes Mary.

(2) She often brings her flowers.

(3) She chats with the young woman for ages

# What is Coreference Resolution ?

- Identify all noun phrases (**mentions**) that refer to the same real world entity

Barack Obama nominated Hillary Rodham Clinton as his secretary of state on Monday. He chose her because she had foreign affairs experience as a former First Lady.

# What is Coreference Resolution ?

- Identify all noun phrases (**mentions**) that refer to the same real world entity

**Barack Obama** nominated Hillary Rodham Clinton as **his** secretary of state on Monday. **He** chose her because she had foreign affairs experience as a former First Lady.

# What is Coreference Resolution ?

- Identify all noun phrases (**mentions**) that refer to the same real world entity

**Barack Obama** nominated Hillary Rodham Clinton as **his**

secretary of state on Monday

had foreign affairs experience



# What is Coreference Resolution ?


- Identify all noun phrases (**mentions**) that refer to the same real world entity

Barack Obama nominated **Hillary Rodham Clinton** as his **secretary of state** on Monday. He chose **her** because **she** had foreign affairs experience as a former **First Lady**.

# What is Coreference Resolution ?

- Identify all noun phrases (**mentions**) that refer to the same real world entity

Barack Obama nominated **Hillary Rodham Clinton** as his **secretary of state** on Monday. He chose her because she had foreign affairs experience as a former



# Reference Resolution

- Noun phrases refer to entities in the world, many pairs of noun phrases co-refer, some nested inside others

John Smith, CFO of Prime Corp. since 1986,

saw his pay jump 20% to \$1.3 million

as the 57-year-old also became

the financial services co.'s president.



# Kinds of Reference

- Referring expressions
  - *John Smith*
  - *President Smith*
  - *the president*
  - *the company's new executive*

More common in  
newswire, generally  
harder in practice

- Free variables
  - Smith saw *his pay* increase
- Bound variables
  - The dancer hurt *herself*.

More interesting  
grammatical  
constraints,  
more linguistic  
theory, easier in  
practice

"anaphora  
resolution"

## Not all NPs are referring!

- *Every dancer* twisted *her knee*.
- (*No dancer* twisted *her knee*.)
- There are three NPs in each of these sentences; because the first one is non-referential, the other two aren't either.

# Supervised Machine Learning

## Pronominal Anaphora Resolution

- Given a pronoun and an entity mentioned earlier, classify whether the pronoun refers to that entity or not given the surrounding context (yes/no)

Mr. Obama visited the city. The president talked about Milwaukee's economy. He mentioned new jobs.

The diagram shows three curved lines originating from the pronouns 'The president' and 'He' and pointing towards the entity 'Mr. Obama'. Each line has a question mark above it, indicating the task of resolving the pronoun to its referent.

- Usually first filter out pleonastic pronouns like “It is raining.” (perhaps using hand-written rules)
- Use any classifier, obtain positive examples from training data, generate negative examples by pairing each pronoun with other (incorrect) entities
- This is naturally thought of as a binary classification (or ranking) task

# Features for Pronominal Anaphora Resolution

- Constraints:
  - Number agreement
    - Singular pronouns (it/he/she/his/her/him) refer to singular entities and plural pronouns (we/they/us/them) refer to plural entities
  - Person agreement
    - He/she/they etc. must refer to a third person entity
  - Gender agreement
    - He → John; she → Mary; it → car
    - Jack gave **Mary** a gift. **She** was excited.
  - Certain syntactic constraints
    - John bought **himself** a new car. [himself → John]
    - John bought **him** a new car. [him can not be John]

# Features for Pronominal Anaphora Resolution

- Preferences:
  - Recency: More recently mentioned entities are more likely to be referred to
    - John went to a movie. Jack went as well. He was not busy.
  - Grammatical Role: Entities in the subject position is more likely to be referred to than entities in the object position
    - John went to a movie with Jack. He was not busy.
  - Parallelism:
    - John went with Jack to a movie. Joe went with him to a bar.

# Features for Pronominal Anaphora Resolution


- Preferences:
  - Verb Semantics: Certain verbs seem to bias whether the subsequent pronouns should be referring to their subjects or objects
    - John telephoned Bill. He lost the laptop.
    - John criticized Bill. He lost the laptop.
  - Selectional Restrictions: Restrictions because of semantics
    - John parked his car in the garage after driving it around for hours.
- Encode all these and maybe more as features

# Pairwise Features

Category	Features	Remark
Lexical	exact_strm left_subsm right_subsm acronym edit_dist spell ncd	1 if two mentions have the same spelling; 0 otherwise 1 if one mention is a left substring of the other; 0 otherwise 1 if one mention is a right substring of the other; 0 otherwise 1 if one mention is an acronym of the other; 0 otherwise quantized editing distance between two mention strings pair of actual mention strings number of different capitalized words in two mentions
Distance	token_dist sent_dist gap_dist	how many tokens two mentions are apart (quantized) how many sentences two mentions are apart (quantized) how many mentions in between the two mentions in question (quantized)
Syntax	POS_pair apposition	POS-pair of two mention heads 1 if two mentions are appositive; 0 otherwise
Count	count	pair of (quantized) numbers, each counting how many times a mention string is seen
Pronoun	gender number possessive reflexive	pair of attributes of {female, male, neutral, unknown} pair of attributes of {singular, plural, unknown} 1 if a pronoun is possessive; 0 otherwise 1 if a pronoun is reflexive; 0 otherwise

# Machine learning models of coref


- Start with supervised data
  - positive examples that corefer
  - negative examples that don't corefer
- Note that it's very skewed
  - The vast majority of mention pairs *don't* corefer





# Kinds of Models


- Mention Pair models
  - Treat coreference chains as a collection of pairwise links
  - Make independent pairwise decisions and reconcile them in some way (e.g. clustering or greedy partitioning)
- Mention ranking models
  - Explicitly rank all candidate antecedents for a mention
- Entity-Mention models
  - A cleaner, but less studied, approach
  - Posit single underlying entities
  - Each mention links to a discourse entity [Pasula et al. 03], [Luo et al. 04]





## Lee et al. (2010): Stanford deterministic coreference

- Cautious and incremental approach
- Multiple passes over text
- Precision of each pass is lesser than preceding ones
- Recall keeps increasing with each pass
- Decisions once made cannot be modified by later passes
- Rule-based (“unsupervised”)




# Approach: start with high precision clumpings

**E.g.**


*Pepsi hopes to take **Quaker oats** to a whole new level.....Pepsi says it expects to double **Quaker's** snack food growth rate. ... the deal gives Pepsi access to **Quaker oats' Gatorade** sport drink as well as ....*

Exact String Match: A high precision feature

# Entity-mention model: Clusters instead of mentions










Clusters:




# Detailed Architecture

The system consists of seven passes (or sieves):

-  Exact Match
-  Precise Constructs (appositives, predicate nominatives, ...)
-  Strict Head Matching
-  Strict Head Matching – Variant 1
-  Strict Head Matching – Variant 2
-  Relaxed Head Matching
-  Pronouns


## Cumulative performance of passes♪



Graph showing the system's B<sup>3</sup> Precision, Recall and F1 on ACE2004-DEV after each additional pass

# Evaluation metrics

- MUC Score (Vilain et al., 1995)
  - Link based: Counts the number of common links and computes f-measure
- CEAF (Luo 2005); entity based
- BLANC (Recasens and Hovy 2011) Cluster RAND-index
- ...
- All of them are sort of evaluating getting coreference links/ clusters right and wrong, but the differences can be important



# CoNLL 2011 Shared task on coref

## Official; Closed track; Predicted mentions

System	MD	MUC	B-CUBED	CEAF <sub>m</sub>	CEAF <sub>e</sub>	BLANC	Official
	F	F <sup>1</sup>	F <sup>2</sup>	F	F <sup>3</sup>	F	$\frac{F^1+F^2+F^3}{3}$
lee	<b>70.70</b>	59.57	68.31	<b>56.37</b>	<b>45.48</b>	73.02	<b>57.79</b>
sapena	43.20	59.55	67.09	53.51	41.32	71.10	55.99
chang	64.28	57.15	<b>68.79</b>	54.40	41.94	<b>73.71</b>	55.96
nugues	68.96	58.61	65.46	51.45	39.52	71.11	54.53
santos	65.45	56.65	65.66	49.54	37.91	69.46	53.41
song	67.26	<b>59.95</b>	63.23	46.29	35.96	61.47	53.05
stoyanov	67.78	58.43	61.44	46.08	35.28	60.28	51.92
sobha	64.23	50.48	64.00	49.48	41.23	63.28	51.90
kobdani	61.03	53.49	65.25	42.70	33.79	62.61	51.04
zhou	62.31	48.96	64.07	47.53	39.74	64.72	50.92
charton	64.30	52.45	62.10	46.22	36.54	64.20	50.36
yang	63.93	52.31	62.32	46.55	35.33	64.63	49.99
hao	64.30	54.47	61.01	45.07	32.67	65.35	49.38
xinxin	61.92	46.62	61.93	44.75	36.23	64.27	48.46
zhang	61.13	47.28	61.14	44.46	35.19	65.21	48.07
kummerfeld	62.72	42.70	60.29	45.35	38.32	59.91	47.10
zhekova	48.29	24.08	61.46	40.43	35.75	53.77	40.43
irwin	26.67	19.98	50.46	31.68	25.21	51.12	31.28



# Remarks

- This simple deterministic approach gives state of the art performance!
- Easy insertion of new features or models
- The idea of “easy first” model has also had some popularity in other (ML-based) NLP systems
  - Easy first POS tagging and parsing
- It's a flexible architecture, not an argument that ML is wrong
  - Pronoun resolution pass would be easiest place to reinsert an ML model??